

financial assistance to a new professional sports stadium. Three voters, all also taxpayers, attempted to intervene to protect their right to vote. Their Motion to Intervene was denied.

ISSUES EXPECTED TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL (Attach one additional page, if necessary. Appellant is not bound by this list. Attach copy of post-trial motion, if one was filed.)

1. Whether the Trial Court erred in denying the Proposed Intervenor-Defendants' Motion for Leave to Intervene, either as of right or as permissive intervention, even though they are voters, residents and taxpayers with a direct interest in the litigation.
2. Whether the Trial Court erred in holding that the Ordinance in question (Chapter 391 of the Code of the City of St. Louis) was vague and was pre-empted in certain respects by state law, thus invalidating the Ordinance.
3. Whether the Trial Court erred in holding that the proposed new stadium would be "adjacent" to an existing convention facility pursuant to Section 67.653.1 of the Missouri Revised Statutes.

A COPY OF THIS FORM AND ATTACHMENTS MUST BE SERVED ON THE RESPONDENT.

**Additional Parties**

Proposed Intervenor-Defendants/Appellants

Jeanette Oxford  
William White  
Earl Garrett

**Attorney**

John J. Ammann  
Brendan Roediger  
Saint Louis University  
Legal Clinic  
100 North Tucker  
St. Louis, Mo. 63101  
314-977-2778 977-1180

James Wilson  
Edward Hanlon  
Attorneys at Law  
7711 Bonhomme, Suite 850  
St. Louis, Mo. 63105  
314-725-5955  
Fax: 314-725-0559

**ADDITIONAL COUNSEL FOR REGIONAL CONVENTION AND SPORTS COMPLEX**  
**AUTHORITY**

Lawrence Friedman  
Michael Lause  
Shaun Broeke  
Thompson Coburn  
One US Bank Plaza  
Suite 2600  
St. Louis, Mo. 63101  
314-552-6000  
314-552-7000 (Fax)